1. Regulatory sandboxes for alternative finance (AF)

Gaps:
- Framework for operation of a regulatory sandbox for AF is under development.
- ICO is not regulated.

Core recommendations:
- Develop communication with the advanced EU organisations on alternative finance regulation, for quick learning about the progress and failures, mapping and cross border pilots.
- Consider the usage of best principles elaborated in international practice of establishment of the legal framework for AF, with a goal of generating trust and confidence in the alternative finance sector among start-ups and SMEs.
- Consider introduction by public authorities of a certification system for institutions and providers of alternative financing based on certain eligibility criteria.

EU counterparts:
- Affiliate:
- Global Financial Innovation Network (GFIN);
- European Savings and Retail Banking Group;
- The World Savings and Retail Banking Institute;
- European Forum for Innovation Facilitators (EFIF);
- Catalan Agency for Business Competitiveness (ACCIÓ);

Why?
Alternative finance refers to a range of products emerging outside of traditional banking for businesses that have difficulties in accessing banking loans because of their high-risk business plans (e.g. peer-to-peer lending, crowdfunding, marketplace lending and initial coin offering (ICO)). These new sources of investments enabled by digital technologies can be a powerful factor of economic growth. One of them, ICO, is based on distributed ledgers technologies (like blockchain) which is considered to be a technology with risks of application when not properly governed. That is why many national banks prefer to organize some testing regime before allowing ICO for the whole country: they allow such transactions for certain qualified actors, develop a set of rules for them, and observe if these rules are effective or they need to be changed for more strict/more soft before being applied to the whole country. Regulatory sandboxes can be useful also for the other highly innovative technologies and business models – they help financial authorities and regulators to test them in a controlled environment, before they are introduced on the scale of the country.

2. Crowdfunding

Gaps:
- There are no active equity-based crowdfunding platforms in Ukraine.
- A national regulation on crowdfunding is not in place yet.
- A registry of acting crowdfunding platforms is not maintained.

Core recommendations:
- Consider the legislative proposal for an EU framework on crowd and peer to peer finance (COM(2016)113/DOCUMENT-2016-31146) and the Proposal for a Regulation on European Crowdfunding Service Providers (ECSP) for Business (COM(2018)0113 final - 2018/048 (COD)).
- Register the crowdfunding platforms at the P2PMarket data platforms resource for making the EaP platforms visible in the world.
- Establish links with EU professional networks for quick learning about the progress and failures, mapping and cross border cooperation.

EU policies:
- Legislative proposal for an EU framework on crowd and peer to peer finance (COM(2016)113/DOCUMENT-2016-31146);
- Proposal for a Regulation on European Crowdfunding Service Providers (ECSP) for Business (COM(2018)0113 final - 2018/048 (COD));
- EU counterparts: Crowdfund Portal; European Crowdfunding Network.

Why?
Crowdfunding platforms in their different models are a new powerful source of alternative funding that make start-ups less dependent on typical venture capital exit models and allow for raising funds without a material collateral that is required by banks. This tool empowered by digital technologies can be helpful for Eastern partner countries, allowing to collect micro funding of business development by population, in the circumstances when the institutional investors are still rare. Throughout the vast experience of regulatory environment collected in various EU countries, Eastern partner countries can extract the best and implement it at the stage when the EU countries came to the harmonisation of rules. Aligning of the national crowdfunding platforms regulation in Eastern partner countries with rules set within EU and making the EaP platforms visible in EU will enable the Europe-wide cross-border activity of crowdfunding platforms, including attracting EU investors to EaP market of ideas, and thereby increase access to finance for entrepreneurs, start-ups, scale-ups and SMEs in general. Networking with existing professional actors will allow to EA countries to quickly learn about the progress and failures in regulation and business models, to map EaP platforms and raise interest of EU investors and collaborate in joint cross border projects.

3. Business angels

Gaps:
- Convertible loan agreements and advanced subscription agreements as a kind of investments are limitedly applicable in Ukraine.
- Tax benefits for BAs are not provided.
- Co-investments from the public funds for business angels are not provided in the country.

Core recommendations:
- Consider wider usage of convertible permissible notes and advanced subscription agreements as specific types of investments (mezzanine/quasi-equity investments) – regulative permission and transfer of model agreements and practices.
- Further development of Business Angels Associations, through specialised training programme for ecosystem builders and integration with international networks of investors at different stages of innovation lifecycle.
- Building the capacity of individual business angels (training and networking) as a main instrument of consolidation and increase the success rate of Ukrainian business angels.
- Introducing tax benefits for business angels on the country level.
- Introducing co-investments scheme for business angels.

EU counterparts:
- World Business Angel Investment Forum;
- Business Angels Europe;
- EBAN;
- EAP;
- InnoFin Business Angels;
- List of training opportunities for business angels.

Why?
Business angels can only be successful and lead their funded companies to success if they are the part of the wide market saturated with funding opportunities at further stages of business development. In case of small domestic markets as in EaP region, EaP business angels need to be integrated with the wider networks of business angels (for sharing experience and driving bigger projects up to revenues and exit) and venture capital funds (up to IPO). On the national level, this is best facilitated by associations that perform targeted networking with the international community. However, such facilitation is a complex job. The difficulty to extract the benefits from international membership is a wide-spread reason for EaP business associations to not consider quitting the memberships. A specialised institutional and individual capacity building programs with targeted support to EaP digital business associations is needed, to make them an integral part of the EU business community and international associations. This is a necessary precondition for the dissemination of good business ethics across EaP region as well as for intensive network-building. Specifically, for business angels, operating as a part of international business network is a direct means of risk-leverage and increase of investments success rate via better decision making and connection with next-stage investors.
4. Venture capital

Gaps:
- The regulation on investment funds is not fully available.
- Tax benefits for venture capital are partially provided in the country.
- Co-investments from public funds for venture capital are not provided in the country.

Core recommendations:
- Harmonisation of regulation of venture capital investments in Ukraine with advanced EU legislation (UCITS, AIFM, EuVECA, EuSEF, ELTIF, PRIIPs).
- When deciding about the venture investments taxation regimes, compare with competing jurisdictions.
- Involve the Ukrainian Venture Capital and Private Equity Association as a strategic partner of the Government, to build relations with foreign VC funds.

EU counterparts:
- The directive on undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities (UCITS);
- The alternative investment fund managers (AIFM) directive;
- The European venture capital funds (EuVECA) regulation;
- Regulation on European Venture Capital Funds;
- The European social entrepreneurship funds (EuSEF) regulation;
- The European long-term investment funds (ELTIF) regulation;
- Regulation on key information documents for PRIIPs;
- EU co-investment tools (InnoFin Venture Capital; VentureEU; Venture Capital Investment).

Potential actors in Ukraine:
- National Bank of Ukraine;
- Ukrainian Venture Capital and Private Equity Association (UCVCA).

Why? Raising up national venture investors is a long-term task that includes providing the wealthy citizens with incentives (including trust to national regulation) to make risky investments inside the country and train the very well educated and internationally practiced venture fund managers. The medium-term task is to attract to Ukraine the venture investments from abroad. This is needed to equip the Ukrainian start-ups with an opportunity to get greater funding for their development while staying the residents of the country. Attraction of the foreign investors implies creation of clear and understandable rules for them, the same or better than those in their home country. Thus, making decision about the model regulations should of course consider the best world practices, but herewith the terms and definitions should be understandable to those countries, from which the investors are expected to be attracted. Development of business angels facilitating the business development and public risk investments in cases when the VC-preferred exit models are not desirable (i.e. strategic national interests, exits that lead to blocking of treatments methods, etc.) is important, to avoid the brain drain and businesses drain from the country (business at every stage of development should feel comfortable and find resources within the country).

5. Fast track support for high-risk innovations

Gaps:
- Limited financial resources for fast track support.
- Newly established funds lack managerial experience.

Core recommendations:
- Integration of data from different sources and channels of its collection to be developed, for SMEs’ easy access.
- Additional online channels launched via internet banking for fast track support for high-risk innovations and social innovations and for automatic matching of demand and supply of funding.
- The available EU tools to be wider used by Eastern partner countries, being promoted by ecosystem actors, and their scheme of decision making to be studied.

EU counterparts:
- Enhanced European Innovation Council (EIC) pilot;
- The Fast Track to Innovation;
- The Social Impact Accelerator (SIA).

Potential actors in Ukraine:
- Ukrainian StartUp Fund;
- State Finance Institution for Innovations;
- Fund for Innovation Support etc.

6. Funding of digital transformation of traditional enterprises

Gaps:
- There are no dedicated funds available for digital transformation in Ukraine; banking funding is not available for this purpose.

Core recommendations:
- Classification of activities and rules of allocating loans used by COSME Loan Guarantee Facility are to be used when revising the framework for digital transformation, covering the following areas of digital transformation: Internal processes; Supply chain management; Products/service innovations; Customer relationships; Business development; Innovative business models; Security; Digitals skills and Training.
- Co-piloting and co-financing of digital transformation cases with EU enterprises in the common industry.

EU counterparts:
- COSME Loan Guarantee Facility (LGF).

Potential actors in Ukraine:
- Ministry of Digital Transformation of Ukraine;
- Platform Industry 4.0 Ukraine.

7. Training opportunities and decision-making tools

Gaps:
- Training opportunities for BAs, VCs, venture fund managers are not available.
- Decision-making tools for BAs, VCs, venture fund managers are not available.

Core recommendations:
- Complement national training programmes for start-ups and companies wishing to attract VC and VC funding with info on alternative funding.
- Link national training programmes for BAs, VCs, venture fund managers to diverse training programmes across the world and have an intensive practical component.
- Decision-making tool for developing and implementation the innovation agenda of the country: communication platform for government bodies, innovation players; online matchmaking platform.

EU counterparts:
- ESIL;
- WBAF Business School;
- The Global Mentors Club;
- STARTUP Ecosystem EU;
- Innoflate project.

Potential actors in Ukraine:
- Business associations.

Why? Digital transformation is differing from informatisation because it implies systemic changes, including the revision of a business model because this is enabled by new technologies. A systemic approach to digital transformation is much more cost-efficient than sporadic introduction of new technological tools. All the changes consume time, expertise and resources. For traditional industries that are far away from IT, this expertise is very rare. Thus, public and private financial support for digital transformation should be per se structured in a way helping the traditional enterprises to understand, that they need to take care not only about, for example, CRM introduction, but also about, for example, cybersecurity and supply chain management.

8. Information and advisory support to SMEs seeking for financing digital innovations

Gaps:
- Existing platforms overlap, lack update capacities; their information on available funding opportunities is not friendly for entrepreneurs.

Core recommendations:
- Providing an online freely accessible database listing financial sources available to the country residents from various organisations assisting SMEs to select those sources relevant for the case and innovation lifecycle stage.
- Joining the existing matchmaking platforms with a big scale connecting finance-seeking SMEs with investors and lenders beyond the banking system.
- Consider the innovative approaches on matching companies seeking for funding with risk and alternative funding (i.e. TWiNNING AND TAIEX).

EU counterparts:
- Irish Government’s Supporting SMEs;
- Online Tool; Enterprise Ireland;
- IDC wizard; Finance Desk;
- Access2finance portal of YourEurope;
- EuroQuity;
- Credit data tool of Afinator.

Potential actors in Ukraine:
- National Bank of Ukraine;
- Platforms sme.gov.ua; business.dia.gov.ua.

Why? With a growing variety of funding sources that are coming to Ukraine, especially coming from private and international financial organisations, the availability of a one reliable source accumulating the necessary information about funding possibilities available to the country residents from various organisations is a necessary economic instrument. Since the effectiveness of matchmaking platforms that connect finance-seeking SMEs with investors and lenders beyond the traditional banking system increases with scale, for small markets of Eastern partner countries the strategic direction would be to become adjoined to the existing platforms with a big scale.